MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2017

MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| Independent Auditor's Report | 1 |
| Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information) | 3 |
| Basic Financial Statements | |
| Statement of Net Position | 7 |
| Statement of Revenues and Expenses | 8 |
| Statement of Changes in Net Position | 9 |
| Statement of Cash Flows | 10 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 11 |
| Required Supplementary Information | |
| Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (CalPERS) | 27 |
| Schedule of Contributions (CalPERS) | 28 |
| Schedule of Funding Progress – OPEB | 29 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standard</i> s | 30 |

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

BROWN

ARMSTRONG

BAKERSFIELD OFFICE (MAIN OFFICE)

4200 TRUXTUN AVENUE SUITE 300 BAKERSFIELD, CA 93309 TEL 661.324.4971 FAX 661.324.4997 EMAIL info@bacpas.com

FRESNO OFFICE

7673 N. INGRAM AVENUE SUITE 101 FRESNO, CA 93711 TEL 559.476.3592 FAX 559.476.3593

LAGUNA HILLS OFFICE

23272 MILL CREEK DRIVE SUITE 255 LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92563 TEL 949.652,5422

STOCKTON OFFICE

5250 CLAREMONT AVENUE SUITE 150 STOCKTON, CA 95207 TEL 209.451.4833

REGISTERED with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and MEMBER of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

BROWN ARMSTRONG

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors Mojave Air and Space Port Mojave, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Mojave Air and Space Port (the District), as of June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. The June 30, 2016, summarized comparative information has been derived from the 2016 financial statements and is included for additional analysis only. The financial statements as of June 30, 2016, were audited by other auditors, whose report dated December 1, 2016, expressed an unmodified opinion on those statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the District as of June 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position, and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (CalPERS), Schedule of Contributions (CalPERS), and Schedule of Funding Progress -OPEB, as listed on the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 1, 2017 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

BROWN ARMSTRONG ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

Brown Armstrong Accountancy Corporation

Bakersfield, California December 1, 2017

MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2017, WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS

The following discussion and analysis of Mojave Air and Space Port's financial performance provides an overview of the financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Please read it in conjunction with the basic audited financial statements and accompanying notes, which follow this section.

Financial Highlights

The District's total net position increased \$0.39 million or 1% over the course of the year's operations.

The District's total revenues experienced a net increase of \$1.48 million or 18% during the year ended June 30, 2017.

The District's total expenses increased \$0.67 million or 8% during the year ended June 30, 2017.

The District's net capital assets decreased \$1.06 million or 3% during the year ended June 30, 2017.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This annual report includes this management's discussion and analysis report, the independent auditor's report, the basic financial statements of the District and required supplementary information. The financial statements also include notes that explain in more detail some of the information contained in the financial statements.

Required Financial Statements

The financial statements of the District report information of the District using accounting methods similar to those used by private sector companies. The financial statements conform to accounting principles which are generally accepted in the United States of America and utilize the accrual basis of accounting.

The statement of net position includes all of the District's assets and liabilities and provides information about the nature and amounts of investments in resources (assets) and the obligations to District creditors (liabilities), with the difference between the two reported as net position. This statement will indicate which assets are restricted due to contractual, Board action, or other commitments. This statement also provides the basis for assessing the liquidity, capital structure and financial flexibility of the District.

Revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statements of revenues and expenses and changes in net position. These statements measure the success of the District's operations and can be used to determine profitability, credit worthiness, and whether the District has successfully recovered all its costs through user fees and other charges.

The statement of cash flows reports cash receipts, cash payments, and net changes in cash resulting from operations, investing, and financing activities. From this statement, information related to sources and uses of cash and the change in cash balances are presented.

Financial Analysis of the District

One of the most important questions asked about the District's finances is "Is the District, as a whole, better off or worse off as a result of this year's activities?" The condensed statements of net position and the condensed statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position reports information about the District's activities in a way that will help answer this question. These two condensed statements report the net position of the District and the changes in net position. One can think of the District's net position – the difference between assets and deferred outflows of resources and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources – as one way to measure financial health or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the District's net position are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or

deteriorating. However, one will need to consider other non-financial factors such as changes in economic conditions, population growth, and new or changed government legislation.

To begin our analysis, a summary of the District's statements of net position is presented in Table A.

| Table A |
|--------------------------------------|
| Condensed Statements of Net Position |
| June 30, 2017 and 2016 |
| (000's) |

| | 2017 | 2016 | Dollar Shange | Percentage Change |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Current assets Capital assets Note receivable | \$ 9,873 38,527 | \$ 8,521 39,590 | \$ 1,352 (1,063) | 16% -3% -100% |
| Total assets | 48,400 | 94 48,205 | (94) 195 | -100% |
| Deferred outflows of resources | 1,228 | 410 | 818 | 200% |
| Total assets and deferred | | | | |
| outflows of resources | \$ 49,628 | \$ 48,615 | \$ 1,013 | 2% |
| Current liabilities Long-term liabilities | \$ 848 5,517 | \$ 701 4,927 | \$ 147 590 | 21% 12% |
| Total liabilities | 6,365 | 5,628 | 737 | 13% |
| Deferred inflows of resources | 198 | 314 | (116) | -37% |
| Invested in capital assets, | | | | |
| net of related debt | 38,526 | 39,590 | (1,064) | -3% |
| Unrestricted | 4,539 | 3,083 | 1,456 | 47% |
| Total net position | 43,065 | 42,673 | 392 | 1% |
| Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and net | | | | |
| position | \$ 49,628 | \$ 48,615 | \$ 1,013 | 2% |

As the Condensed Statements of Net Position above indicate, total assets increased by \$0.20 million to \$48.40 million at June 30, 2017, from \$48.20 million at June 30, 2016. The increase in the total assets of the District was primarily due to an increase in current assets of \$1.35 million, chiefly due to an increase in cash of \$1.42 million, which was partially offset by a decrease in capital assets of \$1.06 million, due to depreciation of \$2.25 million and acquisition of new capital assets and completion of construction projects of \$1.19 million.

Total liabilities increased by \$0.74 million or 13% during the year ended June 30, 2017, due to increases in current liabilities of \$0.15 million and long-term liabilities of \$0.59 million. The increase is mainly a result of an increase of \$0.61 in net pension liability.

Deferred outflows of resources increased by \$0.82 million or 200% from \$0.41 million at June 30, 2016 to \$1.23 million at June 30, 2017. Deferred inflows of resources decreased by \$0.12 million from \$0.31 million at June 30, 2016 to \$0.20 million at June 30, 2017. The predominant changes were a result of an increase of \$0.80 million in the net difference between projected and actual earnings from plan investments.

Table B Condensed Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position June 30, 2017 and 2016 (000's)

| | 2017 | 2016 | Dollar hange | Percentage Change |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Operating revenue | \$ 8,782 | \$ 7,070 | \$ 1,712 | 24% |
| Nonoperating revenue Total revenues | 865 9,647 | 1,093 8,163 | (228) 1,484 | -21% 18% |
| Operating expenses | 7,000 | 6,338 | 662 | 10% |
| Depreciation expense Total expenses | 2,255 9,255 | 2,252 8,590 | 3 665 | 0% 8% |
| Change in net position | 392 | (427) | 819 | -192% |
| Net position, beginning of year | 42,673 | 43,100 | (427) | -1% |
| Net position, end of year | \$ 43,065 | \$ 42,673 | \$ 392 | 1% |

While the Condensed Statements of Net Position show the change in financial position of the District, the Condensed Statements of Revenues and Expenses and Changes in Net Position provides answers as to the nature and source of these changes.

The District's total revenues increased by \$1.48 million to \$9.65 million during the year ended June 30, 2017, from \$8.16 million during the year ended June 30, 2016. Operating revenues increased by \$1.71 million, primarily due to increased sales of fuel and related services of \$1.54 million and higher rent proceeds of \$0.33 million. Nonoperating revenues decreased by \$0.23 million because of lower tax receipts, lower grant proceeds due to the completion of a grant project, and windstorm-related insurance claim proceeds received in the year ended June 30, 2016.

Total expenses increased \$0.67 million to \$9.26 million during the year ended June 30, 2017, from \$8.59 million during the year ended June 30, 2016. The primary reason for the increase was due to the additional cost of fuel of \$0.74 million to support higher fuel sales.

Tabla C

| | Capit June 30, 2 | able C al Ass 2017 a 000's) | ets | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | 2017 | | 2016 | Dollar Change | Percentage Change |
| Land Buildings and structures Furniture and equipment Infrastructure Construction in progress | \$ 6,250 12,419 5,135 46,049 156 | \$ | 6,250 12,040 4,968 45,495 66 | \$ - 379 167 554 90 | 0% 3% 3% 1% 136% |
| Total capital assets | 70,009 | | 68,819 | 1,190 | 2% |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 31,483 | | 29,229 | 2,254 | 8% |
| Total net capital assets | \$ 38,526 | \$ | 39,590 | \$ (1,064) | -3% |

As of June 30, 2017, the District had invested \$70 million in capital assets. As can be seen from the previous table, net capital assets decreased \$1.06 million to \$38.53 million at June 30, 2017, from \$39.59 million at June 30, 2016, due to depreciation of \$2.25 million. The decrease resulting from depreciation was partially offset by increases in capital assets. Significant capital asset increases during the year ended June 30, 2017 are \$0.55 million of completed infrastructure projects, including \$0.14 million for roadway improvements, \$0.14 million for water system enhancements, and \$0.16 million for runway improvements. In addition, \$0.32 million was expended to acquire hangers from departing tenants.

Table D Budget vs. Actual Comparison

Budgetary Comparison

The following table is a comparison of the Board approved budget for 2017 against actual results.

| | | r the Year E | | lune 30, 201 | | | |
|--|----|----------------|----|--------------|----|----------------|----------------------|
| | A | ctual | B | udget | C | hange | Percentage Change |
| Operating revenue Nonoperating revenue | \$ | 8,782 865 | \$ | 7,791 815 | \$ | 991 50 | 13% 6% |
| Total revenues | | 9,647 | | 8,606 | | 1,041 | 12% |
| Operating expenses Depreciation expense | | 7,000 2,255 | | 7,614 - | | (614) 2,255 | -8% 0% |
| Total expenses | | 9,255 | | 7,614 | | 1,641 | 22% |
| Change in net position | \$ | 392 | \$ | 992 | \$ | (600) | -60% |

* The District does not include depreciation expense as part of the budget and as such, has not been included in the budget analysis below.

Actual total revenues were above budgeted revenues for 2017 by \$1.04 million mainly due to higher than anticipated fuel and related service sales of \$0.92 million.

Actual total expenses, exclusive of depreciation, came out below budgeted operating expenses by \$0.61 million primarily due to anticipated expenses that were not incurred including \$0.04 million in operations, \$0.31 million in maintenance, \$0.32 million in pension expense, \$0.23 million in various administrative expenses, and \$0.05 million in marketing. These under-budget amounts were offset by over-budget amounts including higher than anticipated fuel costs of \$0.35 million.

The annual budget is presented and approved by the District's Board of Directors each June.

Contacting the District Management

This financial report is designed to provide our customers and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the District at 1434 Flightline, Mojave, California 93501.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT STATEMENT OF NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2017, WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS

| | 2017 | 2016 |
|---|--|--|
| ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES | | |
| Current Assets Cash and Cash Equivalents Receivables | \$ 9,264,399 | \$ 7,841,238 |
| Accounts Receivable, Net of Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Other Receivables Fuel Inventory Prepaid Expenses Current Maturities of Note Receivable | 294,778 18,041 208,767 87,245 | 471,920 6,610 155,912 - 44,911 |
| Total Current Assets | 9,873,230 | 8,520,591 |
| Capital Assets, Net of Accumulated Depreciation | 38,526,189 | 39,589,688 |
| Note Receivable, Less Current Maturities | | 93,785 |
| Total Assets | 48,399,419 | 48,204,064 |
| Deferred Outflows of Resources Deferred Outflows - CalPERS Pension Plan | 1,228,306 | 410,461 |
| TOTAL ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES | \$ 49,627,725 | \$ 48,614,525 |
| LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND NET POSITION | | |
| Current Liabilities Accounts Payable Accrued Liabilities Customer Deposits Compensated Absences | \$ 369,515 30,588 310,597 137,257 | \$ 445,228 47,963 107,170 100,311 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 847,957 | 700,672 |
| Long-Term Liabilities Other Post-Employment Benefits Liabilities Net Pension Liability | 1,393,700 4,123,519 | 1,417,470 3,509,188 |
| Total Long-Term Liabilities | 5,517,219 | 4,926,658 |
| Total Liabilities | 6,365,176 | 5,627,330 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources Deferred Inflows - CalPERS Pension Plan | 197,507 | 314,129 |
| Net Position Net Investment in Capital Assets Unrestricted | 38,526,189 4,538,853 | 39,589,688 3,083,378 |
| Total Net Position | 43,065,042 | 42,673,066 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND NET POSITION | \$ 49,627,725 | \$ 48,614,525 |

MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017, WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS

| | 2017 | | 2016 |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----|-------------|
| Operating Revenues | | | |
| Rents and Leases | \$ 5,077,319 | \$ | 4,744,246 |
| Landing Area | 3,357,624 | | 1,822,354 |
| Non-Aviation Activities | 333,443 | | 484,045 |
| Other Buildings and Areas | 13,177 | | 18,923 |
| Total Operating Revenues | 8,781,563 | | 7,069,568 |
| Operating Expenses | | | |
| Direct Expenses | 3,059,188 | | 1,920,812 |
| General Shop and Equipment | 912,541 | | 1,258,569 |
| General and Administrative | 3,028,619 | | 3,157,980 |
| Depreciation Expense | 2,254,400 | | 2,251,932 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 9,254,748 | | 8,589,293 |
| Operating Loss | (473,185) | 1 | (1,519,725) |
| Non-Operating Income | | | |
| Interest Income | 59,627 | | 29,650 |
| Tax Revenues | 636,533 | | 687,622 |
| Grant Revenues | 160,686 | | 289,152 |
| Other Income | 8,315 | | 87,023 |
| Total Non-Operating Income | 865,161 | | 1,093,447 |
| Change in Net Position | \$ 391,976 | \$ | (426,278) |

MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET POSITION FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017, WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS

| Balance, June 30, 2015 | \$ 43,099,344 |
|------------------------|---------------|
| Change in Net Position | (426,278) |
| Balance, June 30, 2016 | 42,673,066 |
| Change in Net Position | 391,976 |
| Balance, June 30, 2017 | \$ 43,065,042 |

MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017, WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS

| | Year Ende | ed Ju | ne 30, |
|--|---|-------|--|
| | 2017 | | 2016 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES Receipts from Customers Payments to Employees Payments to Suppliers for Goods and Services Other Operating Cash Receipts and Disbursements | \$ 9,300,828 (7,324,683) (2,330,113) 2,114,300 | \$ | 6,951,624 687,622 (3,870,598) (2,680,818) |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 1,760,332 | | 1,087,830 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCIAL ACTIVITIES Proceeds from Grants Payments for Acquisition and Construction of Capital Assets | 160,686 (1,190,901) | | 289,152 (605,021) |
| Net Cash Used by Capital and Related Financing Activities | (1,030,215) | | (315,869) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES Interest Income Issuance of Note Receivable | 48,196 | | 26,388 (138,696) |
| Net Cash Used by Investing Activities | 48,196 | | (112,308) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES Tax Revenues Other Income | 636,533 8,315 | | - |
| Net Cash Provided (Used) by Noncapital Financing Activities | 644,848 | | - |
| Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 1,423,161 | | 659,653 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year | 7,841,238 | | 7,181,585 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$ 9,264,399 | \$ | 7,841,238 |
| RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING LOSS TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Operating Loss Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Loss to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | \$ (473,185) | \$ | (1,519,725) |
| Depreciation Changes in Operating Assets, Liabilities, and Other Income: (Increase) Decrease in: | 2,254,400 | | 2,251,932 |
| Accounts Receivable Prepaids Notes Receivable Fuel Inventory Accounts Payable Accrued Liabilities Compensated Absences Deferred Pensions Customer Deposits Other Post-Employment Benefits Liability Net Pension Liability Taxes Other Income | 177,142 (87,245) 138,696 (52,855) (75,713) (17,375) 36,946 (934,467) 203,427 (23,770) 614,331 | | (204,967) - 94,202 45,227 (473,934) - (32,328) 243,670 (90,892) 687,622 87,023 |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | \$ 1,760,332 | \$ | 1,087,830 |

MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2017, WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS

NOTE 1 – NATURE OF ACTIVITIES AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Nature of District's Activities

Mojave Air and Space Port (the District) was incorporated on February 24, 1972, under the provisions of the Public Utilities Code, Division 9, Part 2, beginning with Section 22002. The first organization meeting was held on February 28, 1972. On November 15, 1972, Kern County Airport No. 7 was transferred from the County of Kern to the District and the Airport name was changed to the Mojave Airport. On November 1, 1974, the District's name was changed to East Kern Airport District. On November 20, 2012, the District's name was changed to Mojave Air and Space Port.

The District's mission is to foster and maintain a recognized aerospace presence with a principal focus as the world's premier civilian aerospace test center while seeking compatible diverse business and industry.

B. Basis of Accounting and Financial Reporting

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred regardless of the timing of cash payments or receipts.

When the District has both unrestricted and restricted resources available for District purposes, it is the District's practice to first expend restricted resources, subsequently utilizing unrestricted resources as needed.

The District utilizes a net position presentation in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments*, as amended by GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows or Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position*. Net position is categorized as net investment in capital assets, restricted components of net position, and unrestricted components of net position. These categories are defined as follows.

Net investment in capital assets - This component of net position consists of capital assets, including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and the deferred outflow of advanced refunding of bonds, reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. If there are significant unspent related debt proceeds at year-end, the portion of the debt attributable to the unspent proceeds are not included in the calculation of invested in capital assets, net of related debt. Rather, that portion of the debt is included in the same net position component as the unspent proceeds.

Restricted components of net position - This component of net position consists of restricted assets reduced by liabilities and deferred inflows of resources related to those assets. Generally, a liability relates to restricted assets if the asset results from a resource flow that also results in the recognition of a liability or if the liability will be liquidated with the restricted assets reported.

Unrestricted components of net position - This component of net position is the net amount of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources that are not included in the determination of net investment in capital assets or the restricted component of net position.

NOTE 1 – NATURE OF ACTIVITIES AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

C. Fund Accounting

The District utilizes an enterprise method to account for its operations (a) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises - where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis, be financed or recovered primarily through user charges or, (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenue earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability, or other purposes.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with an enterprise fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the District are charges for the leasing of the District's facilities. Operating expenses for enterprise funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

D. <u>Use of Estimates</u>

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

E. <u>Revenue Recognition</u>

Property tax revenue is recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes have been levied, providing they become available. Available means then due, or past due and receivable within the current period and collected within the current period or expected to be collected soon thereafter (not to exceed 60 days) to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. The County of Kern collects property taxes for the District.

Grants are recognized as revenue in the accounting period when they become susceptible to accrual (i.e., both measurable and available).

F. Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are stated at the amount management expects to collect from balances outstanding at year-end. Management provides for uncollectable accounts through a charge to earnings and a credit to an allowance for doubtful accounts based on its assessment of the current status of individual accounts. Balances still outstanding after management has used reasonable collection efforts are written off through a charge to the allowance for doubtful accounts and a credit to accounts receivable. At June 30, 2017, the allowance for doubtful accounts was \$69,016.

G. Fuel Inventory

Fuel inventory is carried at cost on a first-in, first-out (FIFO) basis on a moving average basis. Physical inventories are taken on a monthly basis.

NOTE 1 – <u>NATURE OF ACTIVITIES AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u> (Continued)

H. Capital Assets

Capital assets are defined by the District as assets with an individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated life greater than two years. The District's capital assets are recorded at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

| | Years |
|--------------------------|-------|
| | |
| Buildings and Structures | 5-40 |
| Furniture and Equipment | 5-30 |
| Infrastructure | 5-40 |

Maintenance and repairs of capital assets are charged to operations and major improvements are capitalized. Upon retirement, sale or other disposition of capital assets, the cost and accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the accounts and the gain or loss is included in operations.

I. Compensated Absences

The District's established vacation policy states that each employee shall utilize his or her vacation in the year immediately succeeding the year during which the vacation is earned. The General Manager may authorize up to 20 days of vacation be deferred for one year. The employee may accrue no more than 40 days of vacation. The District's policy regarding sick leave provides employees with up to 200 hours of sick leave accruals. Upon retirement, employees who have been with the District from 5 to 10 years are entitled to 50% payment of unused sick leave accruals and employees who have been with the District over 10 years are entitled to 100% payment of unused sick leave accruals.

J. Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plans and additions to/deductions from the plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

K. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

The District reports increases/decreases in net position that relate to future periods as deferred outflows/inflows of resources in a separate section of the statements of net position. Deferred outflows of resources reported in the statement of net position are the results of the employer contributions made to the pension plan after the measurement date and will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the following year. Deferred inflows of resources are the results of actuarially determined amounts corresponding to the net pension liability that are amortized over an estimated life as part of pension expense.

NOTE 1 – <u>NATURE OF ACTIVITIES AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u> (Continued)

L. Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, the District considers cash equivalents to be all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with a maturity of three months or less. Cash and cash equivalents included the following at June 30, 2017 and 2016:

| | 2017 | 2016 |
|--|---|---|
| Cash Deposits with financial Institutions Deposits with Kern County Treasurer Deposits with Local Agency Investment Fund | \$ 2,116,683 2,257,561 4,890,155 | \$ 1,377,449 1,605,273 4,858,516 |
| | \$ 9,264,399 | \$ 7,841,238 |

The District invests any excess funds not needed for immediate needs into the State of California managed Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), which is a permitted investment by both State law and the District's investment policy. Created by state statute, the LAIF is a component of a pooled money program that is administered by the State Treasurer's Office. The fund has regulatory oversight from the Local Investment Advisory Board, which is comprised of the State Treasurer as Chair, the State Controller, and the Director of Finance. As the LAIF does not make share-value adjustments due to immaterial differences between fair value and cost, the District's cost basis in the fund is reflected in cash and cash equivalents on the statement of net position.

Cash funds deposited with the State Treasurer's Office are in a pooled money fund. Funds are pooled with other agencies throughout California. Investments are made in accordance with California Government Code Sections 16430 and 16480. Cash funds are also deposited with the County of Kern and are appropriately collateralized by cash, investments, and securities.

M. Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk of deposits is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District's deposits may not be returned. The California Government Code and the District's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits and investments, other than the following provision for deposits: the California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure District deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits. At June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District had no risk associated with custodial assets.

N. Fair Value Measurements

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, investments in the County Treasurer's Investment Pools are not subject to the three tiered fair value hierarch: Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3. The three-tiers are defined as follows:

Level 1 – reflect unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.

Level 2 – reflect inputs that are based on a similar observable asset either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 – reflect unobservable inputs.

NOTE 1 – NATURE OF ACTIVITIES AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

N. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

The District has no recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2017.

Treasury Pool Income and Participant Withdrawals

Treasury Pool investments are accounted for in accordance with the provisions of GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools, which requires governmental entities to report certain investments at fair value in the balance sheet and the statement of net position and recognize the corresponding change in value of investments in the year in which the change occurred. The value of the participant's shares in the Treasury Pool that may be withdrawn is determined on an amortized cost basis, which is different from the fair value of the participant's position in the Treasury Pool. The fair value fluctuates with interest rates, and increasing rates could cause the value to decline below original cost; however, Kern County management believes the liquidity in the portfolio is more than adequate to meet cash flow requirements and to preclude Kern County from having to sell investments below original cost for that purpose.

O. Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk and Credit Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. Both the deposits with Kern County and the LAIF have no maturity.

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The County of Kern and LAIF do not have a rating provided by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

P. Cash Flows

GASB Statement No. 9, *Reporting Cash Flows of Proprietary and Nonexpendable Trust Funds and Governmental Entities That Use Proprietary Fund Accounting,* states for purposes of preparing the statement of cash flows, all transactions that are not classified as capital and related financing activities, noncapital financing activities or investing activities are classified as operating activities. The adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided by operating activities include tax revenues and other income.

Q. Governmental Accounting Standards Update

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017, the Districted implemented the following standards:

GASB Statement No. 77 – *Tax Abatement Disclosures.* The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. There was no impact on the financial statements due to the implementation of this statement.

GASB Statement No. 78 – *Pensions Provided through Certain Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plans.* The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. There was no impact on the financial statements due to the implementation of this statement.

GASB Statement No. 80 – Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14. The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2016. There was no impact on the financial statements due to the implementation of this statement.

NOTE 1 – <u>NATURE OF ACTIVITIES AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u> (Continued)

Q. <u>Governmental Accounting Standards Update</u> (Continued)

GASB Statement No. 82 – *Pension Issues—an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73.* The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2016, except for the requirements of paragraph 7 in a circumstance in which an employer's pension liability is measured as of a date other than the employer's most recent fiscal year-end. In that circumstance, the requirements of paragraph 7 are effective for that employer in the first reporting period in which the measurement date of the pension liability is on or after June 15, 2017. Earlier application is encouraged. There was no impact on the financial statements due to the implementation of this statement.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements affecting future years are as follows:

GASB Statement No. 75 – Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other than Pension Plans. The provisions of this statement are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017. The District has not fully judged the effect of the implementation of GASB Statement No. 75 as of the date of the basic financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 81 – *Irrevocable Split-Interest Agreements*. The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The District has not fully judged the impact of implementation of GASB Statement No. 81 on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 83 – *Certain Asset Retirement Obligations.* The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2018. The District has not fully judged the impact of implementation of GASB Statement No. 83 on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 84 – *Fiduciary Activities*. The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The District has not fully judged the impact of implementation of GASB Statement No. 84 on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 85 – *Omnibus 2017.* The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2017. The District has not fully judged the impact of implementation of GASB Statement No. 85 on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 86 – *Certain Debt Extinguishment Issues.* The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2017. The District has not fully judged the impact of implementation of GASB Statement No. 86 on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 87 – *Leases.* The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. The District has not fully judged the impact of implementation of GASB Statement No. 87 on the financial statements.

NOTE 2 – <u>CAPITAL ASSETS</u>

The following is a summary of changes in the District's capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2017, and 2016 for comparison purposes:

| | Capital Assets - At Cost | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|
| | Balance | | | | Balance |
| | June 30, 2016 | Acquisitions | Retirements | Transfers | June 30, 2017 |
| Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated | | | | | |
| Land | \$ 6,250,000 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 6,250,000 |
| Construction in Progress | 65,097 | 107,358 | (16,239) | - | 156,216 |
| Capital Assets Being Depreciated | | | | | |
| Buildings and Structures | 12,040,440 | 379,022 | - | - | 12,419,462 |
| Furniture and Equipment | 4,967,714 | 166,899 | - | - | 5,134,613 |
| Infrastructure | 45,494,976 | 553,861 | | | 46,048,837 |
| | \$ 68,818,227 | \$ 1,207,140 | \$ (16,239) | \$- | \$ 70,009,128 |
| | | | | | |
| | | Accu | umulated Depreci | ation | |
| | Balance | Depreciation | | | Balance |
| | lune 20, 2016 | E vnanaa | Detiromente | Transford | luma 20, 2017 |

| | June 30, 2016 | Expense | Retirements | Transfers | June 30, 2017 |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|
| Capital Assets Being Depreciated | | | | | |
| Buildings and Structures | \$ 7,733,761 | \$ 341,500 | \$- | \$- | \$ 8,075,261 |
| Furniture and Equipment | 3,878,713 | 290,573 | - | - | 4,169,286 |
| Infrastructure | 17,616,065 | 1,622,327 | | | 19,238,392 |
| | \$ 29,228,539 | \$ 2,254,400 | <u>\$ -</u> | \$- | \$ 31,482,939 |

NOTE 3 - RENTS AND LEASES

The District receives income from the rental of land, buildings, and hangars. Leases for these arrangements can be month-to-month or be fixed terms from 1 to 40 years. Lease income for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, was \$5,077,319 and \$4,744,246, respectively. Future minimum rental receipts due under non-cancellable leases are as follows:

| Year Ending June 30, | |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| · · · · · · | |
| 2018 | \$ 1,829,251 |
| 2019 | 1,588,591 |
| 2020 | 1,353,432 |
| 2021 | 1,338,947 |
| 2022 | 1,257,828 |
| After 2022 | 17,496,534 |
| | |
| | \$ 24,864,583 |
| | |

NOTE 4 – <u>RETIREMENT PLANS</u>

CalPERS

A. Plan Description

The District participates in the Public Agency portion of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, which acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public employers within the State of California. The District's offers three defined benefit pension plans, the Miscellaneous Plan of the Mojave Air and Space Port ("Miscellaneous"), and the Safety Plan of the Mojave Air and Space Port ("Safety"). All nonsafety employees hired after January 1, 2013, are placed in the PEPRA Miscellaneous Plan of the Mojave Air and Space Port ("PEPRA"). Both are cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit plans. All qualified permanent and probationary employees are eligible to participate in the District's Miscellaneous and Safety Plans. Benefit provisions under the Plans are established by State statute and District resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plans regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website.

B. Benefits Provided

CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Basic Death Benefit, the 1957 Survivor Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the California Public Employees' Retirement Law.

The Plan's provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2017, are summarized as follows:

| | Miscellaneous | Safety | PEPRA |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Benefit Formula | 2.7%@55 | 3.0%@50 | 2.0%@62 |
| Benefit Vesting Schedule | 5 years service | 5 years service | 5 years service |
| Benefit Payments | Monthly for life | Monthly for life | Monthly for life |
| Retirement Age | 50-55 | 50 | 52-67 |
| Monthly Benefits, as a Percentage | | | |
| of Eligible Compensation | 2.0% to 2.7% | 3.0 | 1.0% to 2.5% |
| Required Employee Contribution Rates | 8.00% * | 9.00% * | 6.75% |
| Required Employer Contribution Rates | 13.83% | 22.50% | 6.95% |

* The District pays the required employee contributions on behalf of the employees.

NOTE 4 – <u>RETIREMENT PLANS</u>

CaIPERS (Continued)

C. <u>Contributions</u>

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law required that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for all Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The District is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.

For the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, the contributions recognized as part of pension expense for each Plan were as follows:

| 2017 | Mise | Miscellaneous | | Safety | | PEPRA |
|---|------|------------------------------|----|----------------------------|----|------------------|
| Contributions - Employer Contributions - Employee Contributions - Side Fund | \$ | 101,918 56,808 219,197 | \$ | 28,970 11,412 24,785 | \$ | 27,007 - - |
| | \$ | 377,923 | \$ | 65,167 | \$ | 27,007 |
| 2016 | Mise | cellaneous | | Safety | F | PEPRA |
| | | | | - | | |
| Contributions - Employer Contributions - Employee Contributions - Side Fund | \$ | 124,898 71,756 208,476 | \$ | 27,829 11,080 22,512 | \$ | 13,246 - - |

D. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Pension Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District reported net pension liabilities for its proportionate share of the net pension liability of each Plan as follows:

| | 2017 | 2016 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Miscellaneous Safety PEPRA* | \$ 3,626,498 497,021 - | \$ 3,098,337 412,508 (1,657) |
| | \$ 4,123,519 | \$ 3,509,188 |

*During the year ended June 30, 2017, amounts from the PEPRA Miscellaneous Plan are included in the Miscellaneous Plan.

The District's net pension liability for each Plan is measured as the proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability of each of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2015 (for year ended June 30, 2016) and June 30, 2016 (for year ended June 30, 2017), and the total pension liability for each Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2014, rolled forward to June 30, 2015, for June 30, 2016 year-end and as of June 30, 2015, rolled forward to June 30, 2016, for June 30, 2017 year-end using standard update procedures. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plans relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined.

NOTE 4 - RETIREMENT PLANS (Continued)

CaIPERS (Continued)

D. <u>Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Pension Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources</u> (Continued)

| | Miscellaneous | Safety | PEPRA |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Proportion - June 30, 2015 Proportion - June 30, 2016 | 0.1129% 0.1044% | 0.0100% 0.0096% | -0.0001% 0.0000% |
| Change - Increase (Decrease) | -0.0085% | -0.0004% | 0.0001% |
| | Miscellaneous | Safety | PEPRA |
| Proportion - June 30, 2014 Proportion - June 30, 2015 | 0.1085% 0.1129% | 0.0103% 0.0100% | 0.0000% -0.0001% |
| Change - Increase (Decrease) | 0.0044% | -0.0003% | -0.0001% |

For the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District recognized pension expense of \$154,070 and \$234,387, respectively. At June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

| 2017 | rred Outflows Resources | erred Inflows Resources |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date Difference between employer's contributions and proportionate share of contributions Differences between actual and expected experience Changes in assumptions Change in employer's proportion Net difference between projected and actual earnings on plan investments | \$ 474,206 56,939 5,548 - - 691,613 | \$ - (133,849) (63,658) - |
| | \$ 1,228,306 | \$ (197,507) |
| 2016 | rred Outflows Resources | rred Inflows Resources |
| Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date Differences between actual and expected experience Changes in assumptions Net difference between projected and actual earnings on plan investments | \$ 396,961 13,500 - | \$ - (209,139) (104,990) |
| | \$ 410,461 | \$ (314,129) |

The \$474,206 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2017. Other amounts reported as deferred inflows and outflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

CaIPERS (Continued)

D. <u>Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Pension Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources</u> (Continued)

| Year Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------|---------------|
| 2018 | \$ 21,766 |
| 2019 | 38,761 |
| 2020 | 316,817 |
| 2021 | 179,249 |
| | |
| | \$ 556,593 |

E. Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

| | Miscellaneous | Safety | PEPRA |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Valuation Date Measurement Date | June 30, 2015 June 30, 2016 | June 30, 2015 June 30, 2016 | June 30, 2015 June 30, 2016 |
| Actuarial Cost Method | Entry-Age Normal Cost Method | Entry-Age Normal Cost Method | Entry-Age Normal Cost Method |
| Actuarial Assumptions: | | | |
| Discount Rate | 7.65% | 7.65% | 7.65% |
| Inflation Rate | 2.75% | 2.75% | 2.75% |
| Payroll Growth | 3.00% | 3.00% | 3.00% |
| Projected Salary Increase | Varies by Entry Age and Service | Varies by Entry Age and Service | Varies by Entry Age and Service |
| Investment Rate of Return | 7.50% | 7.50% | 7.50% |
| Mortality | * | * | * |

* Derived using CalPERS membership data for all funds.

The underlying mortality assumptions and all other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2015 valuation were based on the results of a January 2014 actuarial experience study for the period 1997-2011. Further details on the Experience Study can be found on the CalPERS website.

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.65% for each Plan. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of a discount rate for each plan, CalPERS stress tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans run out of assets. Therefore, the current 7.65% discount rate is adequate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not necessary. The long-term expected discount rate of 7.65% will be applied to all plans in the California Public Employees' Retirement Fund. The stress test results are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained from the CalPERS website.

According to paragraph 30 of GASB Statement No. 68, the long-term discount rate should be determined net of pension plan investment expense without reduction for pension plan administrative expense. The discount rate was changed from 7.5% (net of administrative expenses in 2015) to 7.65% as of the June 30, 2016 measurement date to correct the adjustment which previously reduced the discount rate for administrative expenses.

CaIPERS (Continued)

E. Actuarial Assumptions (Continued)

CalPERS is scheduled to review all actuarial assumptions as part of its regular Asset Liability Management review cycle that is scheduled to be completed in February 2018. Any changes to this discount rate will require the Board of Directors action and proper stakeholder outreach. For these reasons, CalPERS expects to continue using a discount rate net of administrative expenses for GASB Statements No. 67 and No. 68 calculations through at least the 2017-18 fiscal year. CalPERS will continue to check the materiality of the difference in calculation until such time the methodology is changed.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return are developed for each major class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest ¼%.

The table below reflects the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class for all the Plans. The rate of the return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

| Asset Class | New Strategic Allocation | Real Return Years 1-10 (a) | Real Return Years 11+ (b) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Global Equity | 51.00% | 5.25% | 5.71% |
| Global Fixed Income | 19.00% | 0.99% | 2.43% |
| Inflation Sensitive | 6.00% | 0.45% | 3.36% |
| Private Equity | 10.00% | 6.83% | 6.95% |
| Real Estate | 10.00% | 4.50% | 5.13% |
| Infrastructure and Forestland | 2.00% | 4.50% | 5.09% |
| Liquidity | 2.00% | -0.55% | -1.05% |
| Total | 100.00% | | |

(a) An expected inflation of 2.5% used for this period.

(b) An expected inflation of 3.0% used for this period.

CaIPERS (Continued)

F. Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability using the discount rate of 7.65%, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be at June 30, 2017, if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.65%) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.65%) than the current rate:

| | Ν | Miscellaneous | | Safety |
|--|----|--------------------|----|------------------|
| 1% Decrease Net Pension Liability | \$ | 6.65% 5,142,273 | \$ | 6.65% 700,872 |
| Current Discount Rate Net Pension Liability | \$ | 7.65% 3,626,498 | \$ | 7.65% 497,021 |
| 1% Increase Net Pension Liability | \$ | 8.65% 2,373,784 | \$ | 8.65% 329,680 |

G. Side Funds

When the District joined the Miscellaneous and Safety plans, CalPERS created an employer side fund to cover the cost of purchasing qualifying employees' prior years' experience to account for the difference between the funded status of the plan and the funded status of the retirement pool. A positive side fund will cause employer contributions to be reduced by the amortization of the side fund while a negative side fund will cause employer contributions to increase by the amortization of the side fund. As of June 30, 2016, the District's actuarial value of assets was less than the present value of the benefits which resulted in a negative side fund of \$907,329 for the Miscellaneous Plan and \$92,980 for the Safety Plan.

Other Post-Employment Benefits

A. Plan Description

The District provides certain post-employment health care benefits in accordance with District policy to retired employees age 50 or older who were active members of CalPERS for at least five years, their dependents, and retired directors who were first elected before January 1, 1995, who served the District for at least 12 years, and their dependents. The benefits are available until death for both the participants and their spouse.

B. Funding Policy

The contribution requirements of plan members and the District are established and may be amended by the District's Board of Directors. Currently, contributions are not required from plan members.

The required contribution is based on a pay-as-you-go financing requirement with additional amounts to prefund benefits as determined by the District under an actuarial valuation. For the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, the annual required contribution (ARC) was \$394,000 and \$394,000, respectively, amounts actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45.

The District pays for post-employment health insurance on a pay-as-you go basis. For the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District had expenditures of \$387,470 and \$126,530, respectively, for other post-employment benefits.

Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

C. Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The District's annual other post-employment benefit (OPEB) cost is calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities over a period not to exceed thirty years.

The following table shows the components of the District's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the District's net OPEB obligation at and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016:

| Item | 2017 | 2016 | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Annual Required Contribution (ARC) Interest on Net OPEB Obligation/(Asset) Amortization of Beginning of Year Net OPEB Obligation | \$ 394,000 42,500 (72,800) | \$ 394,000 35,200 (59,000) | | | |
| Annual OPEB Cost Contributions Made | 363,700 (387,470) | 370,200 (126,530) | | | |
| Decrease in Net OPEB Obligation | (23,770) | 243,670 | | | |
| Net OPEB Obligation - Beginning of Year | 1,417,470 | 1,173,800 | | | |
| Net OPEB Obligation - End of Year | \$ 1,393,700 | \$ 1,417,470 | | | |

The District's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the 2017 fiscal year and the two preceding years were as follows:

| Fiscal Year-End | Annual OPEB Cos | | Annual tribution (Net Adjustments) | Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed | Net OPEB Obligation | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|------|--|--|------------------------|--|--|
| 6/30/15 | \$ 355,60 | 0 \$ | 128,600 | 36.16% | \$ 1,173,800 | | |
| 6/30/16 | \$ 370,20 | | 126,530 | 34.18% | \$ 1,417,470 | | |
| 6/30/17 | \$ 363,70 | | 387,470 | 106.54% | \$ 1,393,700 | | |

D. Funded Status and Funding Progress

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and healthcare cost trends. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress below presents information about the actuarial value of plan assets relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

| Actuarial Valuation Date | Actuarial Value of Assets | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age | Unfunded AAL (UAAL) | Funded Ratio | Covered Payroll | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| 6/30/2015 | \$ - | \$ 5,828,800 | \$ (5,828,800) | 0.0% | \$ 1,341,200 | -434.6% |

Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

E. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and the plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

The actuarial valuation, dated June 30, 2016, used the Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method, at a discount rate of 3%, the expected long-term rate of return on the District assets, and an annual compensation increase rate of 0%. The unfunded accrued actuarial liability (UAAL) is being amortized over a rolling 30 years using the level percentage of projected payroll method. As of the actuarial valuation date, the District had 18 active participants and 16 recipients.

NOTE 5 – <u>COMMITMENTS</u>

On January 1, 1983, the District leased to The Gibson, Karpe, and Hitchcock Trust a certain parcel of land for the purpose of The Gibson, Karpe, and Hitchcock Trust constructing thereon, a hangar with appurtenant shops, offices, and related improvements. The land lease term is from January 1, 1983 through December 31, 2024, a period of 42 years. Rental payments are as follows:

| For the construction period | \$1.00 |
|--|-------------|
| For the period of 19 years starting at the completion of construction | \$700/Month |

Upon completion, The Gibson, Karpe, and Hitchcock Trust subleased back to the District the site and completed facilities (Building No. 79) for a term of approximately 42 years (terminating December 31, 2024). Rental payments by the District were \$16,275 per month (payable in advance, on the first day of the month) terminating December 31, 2003, ("first sublease rent period").

During the period January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2024, the District shall pay The Gibson, Karpe, and Hitchcock Trust one-half the net rental income derived from leasing the facilities to third parties. Total payments for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, were \$142,197 and \$180,654, respectively.

NOTE 6 – RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss for which the District purchases commercial insurance. The following summarizes the coverage which the District has purchased:

| Type of | | | | Limit per | | |
|--|---|----|-----------|---------------|--|--|
| Coverage | Carrier | De | eductible | Occurrence | | |
| Directors and Officers Commercial Property: | National Union Fire New Hampshire Ins. Co. | \$ | 5,000 | \$ 2,000,000 | | |
| Business Property | | \$ | 5,000 | \$ 556,500 | | |
| Business Income | | \$ | 5,000 | \$ 2,819,140 | | |
| Building | | \$ | 5,000 | \$ 26,068,240 | | |
| Personal Property | | \$ | 1,000 | \$ 857,838 | | |
| Boiler and Machinery | Hartford Steam Boiler | \$ | 1,500 | \$ 26,717,000 | | |
| Railroad Liability | Arch Specialty Insurance Agency | \$ | 10,000 | \$ 3,000,000 | | |
| General Liability | National Union Fire | \$ | 2,500 | \$ 50,000,000 | | |
| Business Auto | Hartford Fire Insurance Co. | \$ | 1,000 | \$ 1,000,000 | | |

Premiums for the above policies are paid annually and are not subject to retroactive adjustments. At June 30, 2017, the District had no outstanding claims which exceed insurance coverage.

NOTE 7 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events have been evaluated through December 1, 2017, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY (CaIPERS) LAST THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30

| | | Miscellaneous | | | Safety | PEPRA* | | | |
|--|--------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--|
| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | |
| District's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability | 0.10439% | 0.11294% | 0.10848% | 0.00960% | 0.01001% | 0.01027% | -0.00006% | 0.00001% | |
| District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability | \$ 3,626,498 | \$ 3,098,337 | \$ 2,681,114 | \$ 497,021 | \$ 412,508 | \$ 385,077 | \$ (1,657) | \$ 587 | |
| District's Covered-Employee Payroll | \$ 1,149,742 | \$ 968,602 | \$ 1,269,842 | \$ 122,463 | \$ 122,168 | \$ 121,970 | \$ 189,803 | \$ 93,400 | |
| District's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll | 315.42% | 319.88% | 211.14% | 405.85% | 337.66% | 315.71% | -0.87% | 0.63% | |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability | 75.87% | 71.17% | 74.52% | 72.69% | 71.82% | 76.20% | 107.31% | 83.04% | |

*Amounts from the PEPRA Plan are included in the Miscellaneous Plan for 2017.

Note 1 GASB Statement No. 68 requires governments to include information from the most recent actuarial valuation and nine separate preceding valuations. As of June 30, 2017, the District had only performed three valuations.

MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS (CaIPERS) LAST THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30

| | Miscellaneous | | | Safety | | | | | PEPRA* | | | | | |
|---|---------------|----|-----------|-----------------|----|----------|----|----------|--------|----------|----|----------|----|---------|
| | 2017 | | 2016 | 2015 | | 2017 | | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2015 |
| Contractually Required Contribution Contributions in Relation to the | \$ 418,237 | \$ | 337,259 | \$ 308,190 | \$ | 55,735 | \$ | 50,341 | \$ | 42,668 | \$ | 13,246 | \$ | 7,057 |
| Contractually Required Contribution | (418,237) | | (337,259) | (308,190) | | (55,735) | | (50,341) | | (42,668) | | (13,246) | | (7,057) |
| Contribution Deficiency (Excess) | <u>\$-</u> | \$ | | \$ | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | |
| District's Covered-Employee Payroll | \$ 1,149,742 | \$ | 968,602 | \$ 1,269,842 | \$ | 122,463 | \$ | 122,168 | \$ | 121,970 | \$ | 189,803 | \$ | 93,400 |
| Contributions as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll | 36.38% | | 34.82% | 24.27% | | 45.51% | | 41.21% | | 34.98% | | 6.98% | | 7.56% |

*Amounts from the PEPRA Plan are included in the Miscellaneous Plan for 2017.

Note 1 GASB Statement No. 68 requires governments to include information from the most recent actuarial valuation and nine separate preceding valuations. As of June 30, 2017, the District had only performed three valuations.

MOJAVE AIR AND SPACE PORT SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS – OPEB JUNE 30, 2017

| Actuaria | (A) Actuarial | (B) Actuarial | (C) Unfunded | (D) Actuarial | | (F) UAAL as a Percentage of Covered | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|--|--|------------------------------|
| Actuarial Valuation Report Date | Value of Assets | Accrued Liability (AAL) | AAL (UAAL) (B)-(A) | Assets as a Percentage of the AAL | (E) Covered Payroll | Payroll (C)/(E) | (G) Total Participants |
| 6/30/2009 6/30/2012 6/30/2015 | \$- \$- \$- | \$ 3,712,600\$ 5,426,900\$ 5,828,800 | \$ 3,712,600 \$ 5,426,900 \$ 5,828,800 | 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% | \$ 1,411,600 \$ 1,220,700 \$ 1,341,200 | 263.0% 444.6% 434.6% | N/A 32 34 |

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

BROWN

ARMSTRONG

BAKERSFIELD OFFICE (MAIN OFFICE)

4200 TRUXTUN AVENUE SUITE 300 BAKERSFIELD, CA 93309 TEL 661.324.4971 FAX 661.324.4997 EMAIL info@bacpas.com

FRESNO OFFICE

7673 N. INGRAM AVENUE SUITE 101 FRESNO, CA 93711 TEL 559.476.3592 FAX 559.476.3593

LAGUNA HILLS OFFICE

23272 MILL CREEK DRIVE SUITE 255 LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92563 TEL 949,652,5422

STOCKTON OFFICE

5250 CLAREMONT AVENUE SUITE 150 STOCKTON, CA 95207 TEL 209.451.4833

REGISTERED with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and MEMBER of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

BROWN ARMSTRONG

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors Mojave Air and Space Port Mojave, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Mojave Air and Space Port (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 1, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

> BROWN ARMSTRONG ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

Brown Armstrong Accountancy Corporation

Bakersfield, California December 1, 2017